America's Only Monthly Revisionist Newsletter

Smith's Report

Number 42

April 1997

ADL coordinates "response" to CODOH's Campus Project

They're saying as little as possible in public, they're ashamed of what they're about, but electronic mail communications obtained by CODOH confirm that the Anti-Defamation League and Hillel are doing what they can, under the table, to stop CODOH advertisements from running in student newspapers across America.

It's clear they're worried--worried that these simple, inexpensive ads announce our World Wide Web address; worried that the ads encourage students--and faculty--to access CODOHWeb directly, to read and judge the evidence for revisionist theory themselves. On February 25, the following message went out to Hillel headquarters:

From: Ari Hoffnung ahoffnun@hillel.org>
To: Hillel-public-policy@offer-ent.com
Date: Tue, 25 Feb 1997 10:16:41 -0400
Subject: Holocaust Revisionist

We wanted to inform you that Bradley Smith, a Holocaust revisionist, recently placed a small ad in the Daily Texan (The University of Texas student newspaper). It did not contain any of his usual propaganda, but did contain his web site (www.codoh.com) and his email address (brsmith@lightspeed.net) in case people wanted to "ignore the thought police" and "read the evidence" for themselves. This "subtle" way of advertising in college papers may be his new tactic to reach college students and must not be ignored. Please pass this message on to as many people as possible.

On March 7, in a somewhat more breathless communiqué (for the Campus Project had begun to roll with ads running on campuses in half a dozen states), Luisa Ellenbogen, Assistant Director of Campus Affairs for the Anti-Defamation League, passed along, to campus Hillel offices across the country, the dread news that CODOH was calling for intellectual freedom on the Holocaust story, and worse, alerting readers to our high-

tech center on the World Wide Web. ADL's campus director told the Hillel rabbis and officers what to do:

To: hillel@offer-ent.com,
 hillel-public-policy@offer-ent.com,
 hillel-women@offer-ent.com,
 hillel-grad@offer-ent.com,
 hillel-jcsc@offer-ent.com
 Date: Fri, 7 Mar 1997
 Subject: Holocaust Denial Advertisements:
 Important Information From The Anti Defamation League.


Bradley Smith, a major proponent of the Holocaust denial movement, works through the Committee for Open Debate on the Holocaust (CODOH) to place Holocaust denial advertisements in college newspapers. Smith has recently submitted an advertisement that contains his web site and his e-mail address in case people want to "ignore the thought police" and "read the evidence" for themselves. This is a new tactic of exposing students to Holocaust denial.

This advertisement has been published at the University of Texas and at Georgia State. If you see an advertisement like this in your campus newspaper please CONTACT YOUR HILLEL DIRECTOR AND THE ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE IMMEDIATELY. We need to respond to this new wave of Holocaust denial ads. You can e-mail me at LUISAE4@aol.com. The ADL's Campus Affairs Department will help you respond to these two issues.

Luisa Ellenbogen
Assistant Director of Campus Affairs
for the Anti-Defamation League

CODOH has been made privy to these two egrams thanks to watchful friends in cyberspace. They are two of what must have been an electronic firestorm. These two alone make it clear that the watchdogs are puzzled

Continued on page 3



Bradley Smith

NOTEBOOK

In the newsletter business it's not always good news. If it were, it wouldn't be a newsletter, it would be something else, a personal puff sheet, a glad rag of some sort.. We're winning the war, as they say--and I'm perfectly confident of that--but along the way I get beaten here, I get beaten there. Nothing big. But it can be nettlesome.

Last week The Hornet at California State University (Sacramento) accepted my ad. The Hornet was savaged badly a few years ago for running a CODOH advertisement and has refused to run anything from us since--until last week. I wanted very much to be at CSU Sacramento. It's right under the noses of the California State Legislature. The heart of California politics. This is the legislature that in 1986 gave the Simon Wiesenthal Center \$5,000,000 to help run its Museum of Tolerance.

According to the Philanthropic Advisory Service, the income of the Simon Wiesenthal Center in 1995 was \$20,133,860. Its assets totaled \$64,078,711. The Chief Executive Officer of the SWC, Rabbi Marvin Hier, earns \$254,855 per annum, not counting perks.

Rabbi Hier and I are going head to head--have been for years. He's set up a special desk at the SWC to "handle" the Campus Project. I suppose I'm a little envious of the rabbi. I could use \$64 million in assets myself. I could use considerably

less to very good effect. It makes my imagination water (there's a peculiar image) to imagine how it would be for the Campus Project--for revisionism-- if I had a measly million bucks to spend in any given year. Or half a million. A quarter of one

million. There'd be all hell to pay, I can tell you that.

Running my ad at CSU Sacramento, just being there, two little inches of display space week in and week out, would make me a happy camper. That's what a simple fellow I am. What's more, I have a supporter for the ad in *The Hornet*. I could stay there forever, a thorn in the side of this Holocaust Lobby Legislature, a thorn in the side of the SWC, which would do whatever they could to block publication of the ad.

Last Saturday my check to The Hornet was returned with a note saying the editorial board had changed its mind. The rabbis (of whatever faith) had got to the editors or got to someone who had gotten to the editors. They'd beaten me again at CSU-Sacramento. This one ad by itself wasn't going to change the world one way or another, but it gave me pause.

Sometimes I ask myself if I am not too old to be horsing around with student newspapers and student journalists. I'm old enough to be a grandfather to these kids. I'm depending on 20-year-olds to help me in my struggle to win a great contest against great odds. But then these are the same 20-year-olds the professors and the SWC and the ADL and the rest of them are dependent upon to win the contest for them!

The universities are full of old guys trying to tell students what to think about the Holocaust story. I'm here to tell students they can run their own intellectual lives on this or any other subject. They don't need a bunch of pinched-faced old farts

telling them what to think and how to think and when to think it. The students need me. They don't know it. But I'm the one who will help free them from the chains their professorshave enveloped them with on this issue. And in turn, it will be the students--in the end it won't be me-who will play a major role in blowing down the Holocaust house of cards.

Meanwhile, the Campus Project is becoming a subject for reflection in university classes in communications. There are professorial lectures, class discussions, papers assigned. The subject of these discussions and papers is not the rights and wrongs of revisionist theory, but whether campus papers are professionally or ethically required to publish our ads.

Today, the St. John's University (New York) paper interviewed me in March. I have a long list of questions to reply to from a Colorado academic who is writing a book on the use of the Internet by radical groups, and another by a student at Arizona State. A California communications instructor expects to interview me in person this spring for his book.

In each case there is a presumption that revisionism is part of a "movement." It's unclear what this movement is supposed to be, but I am seen to be part of it. This is a movement, however, that has no leader, no followers, no party and no platform. I'm proud to be part of it. But what kind of movement is that?

I used to tell these people that revisionism has no politics, but of course it does. It's the politics of intellectual freedom, open debate, a free press. Those are political ideas. American political ideas. What makes a politics of intellectual freedom so dangerous is that it promises the same thing to everyone--to those who believe and to those who don't believe--whatever. Revisionism is a "politics" that's made to order for students. It's made to order for me--for you and me--to

take to them.

continued from page one

and perturbed. The messages establish a kind of functional hierarchy: the first goes forth from campus Hillel at the University of Texas, to Hillel central, warning of the local problem. The second, an alert from ADL headquarters to Hillel, directs Hillel's college branches coast to coast to respond immediately.

ADL's message states: "We need to respond to this new wave of Holocaust denial ads." What that response might be is left unsaid. Based on past performance, though, we understand what is happening. In SR41 (March 1997) we related how student editors at *The Signal*, which had been running CODOH's ad for several weeks, had been approached by two different Jewish groups and asked to suppress the ad. The first was made up of Jewish law students at the university. The second, when the efforts of the students proved unavailing, was "an off-campus Jewish organization" --would we be going too far by suggesting that this "off-campus" group was the local office of the ADL?--that threatened "pressure" if the ad weren't killed forthwith.

The Georgia State Signal, however, signed up for an additional six weeks, making 18 weeks in all that they will have run the ad. This has simply never happened before for the Campus Project. The Red & Black at University of Georgia and The Daily Texan at University of Texas (Austin), both of which it is certain have been contacted by the Hillel rabbis or the strong-arms who represent them, continue to run the ad as if to do so is an everyday expression of campus independence and a sense of what is, simply, right.

As the behind-the-scenes squawks from ADL and Hillel make clear, these ads *matter!*—and they matter now in ways they couldn't have mattered a couple years ago. At the three campuses named above, alone, tens of thousands of students and faculty have instant access to CODOHWeb, and thus the most devastating archive of revisionist scholarship and information available either on or off the Internet.

Campus Hillel is a peculiar organization. It's fronted almost entirely by rabbis in an attempt to give the organization a patina of religious sensibility. Yet the rabbis and other Hillel officers act out the role of mere political operatives, denouncing those who disagree with Zionist and establishment orthodoxies on the Holocaust story,

working to limit intellectual freedom, and leaning on student editors with accusations of anti-Semitism and threats of economic boycott.

As a matter of fact, it no longer matters--not the way it used to-- what Hillel and the ADL and the rest of these

campus Mafiosos do. As I reported here last month, we changed Internet service providers. It turns out that our new provider provides us with more sophisticated statistical information than our previous one did. The upshot? CODOHWeb is getting many more visits than we could clock before--or had reason even to imagine!

During the first two weeks in March that we have figures for, more than 17,000 (!) documents on CODOHWeb were accessed by readers eager to learn more about revisionist theory. Some of these new readers were certainly introduced to us by Hillel's Ari Hoffnung when he thoughtfully mentioned our Web address in that egram we've reprinted on page one. We trust they learned a few things they didn't expect to learn.

POSTSCRIPT: The Michigan Daily, University of Michigan at Ann Arbor, has signed up to run the ad. Student enrollment at Michigan is 35,000, with more than 3,300 faculty. Also, The Washington Square News, New York University, signed on board. NYU is the largest private university in America, with a student body of 47,000 and faculty and staff of 15,000. No ad is run until it's run, but are these the kind of people we want to introduce to CODOHWeb and the pleasures of revisionist theory--or aren't they?

[Muchos thanks to all of you who helped sustain the Campus Project with your contributions and extra contributions this past month. I only regret I can't thank each one of you personally. To those of you who can, our warmest thanks for your continued help in whiting out the Holocaust blackout with freedom and truth. It takes \$80 to \$120 per month, on average, to keep the ad running at important universities around the country.]

Elie Wiesel: sometimes the truth is an accident

Elie Wiesel is in the press again, this time in the pages of *The New York Times* (5 March 1997). With March

"I flew an entire block," said

Elie. "I was hit at 45th Street and

Broadway, and the ambulance

picked me up at 44th. It sounds

someplace--ed.]. But I was totally

crazy [now we're getting

messed up."

the madcap month of hares, fittingly enough the Nobel laureate was telling yet another harebrained tale of a hair's-breadth survivor's escape.

The story? In the course of his interview, the reporter from the paper Joseph Sobran dubbed "The Holocaust Update" noticed that the survivor was in pain. Real, physical, not metaphysical, pain,

this! A crutch, an aching knee—was the hero hurting from an ancient Nazi kick?

No! A taxicab--in Times Square, New York City, in 1956. "I flew an entire block," said Elie. "I was hit at 45th Street and Broadway, and the ambulance picked me up at

44th. It sounds crazy [now we're getting someplace--ed.]. But I was totally messed up."

Now, in New York, a north-south city block normally measures (adjoining street included) 264 feet. Assume the Holocaustian, hurled heavenward by the taxi's blow, flew only curb to curb--i.e., a measly 180 feet or so. The question still arises: how is it that a victim of two such impacts--taxicab and pavement--is still able to speak to us?

But the trail of miracles and tears in Wiesel's rant was only just beginning. He claimed the ambulance that picked him up stopped not at one, but two, New York City hospital emergency rooms, where heartless, greedy medicsinstead of treating him--first checked his pockets for evidence of cash or insurance. On finding none, they sent him off--for them no Hippocratic Oath--until at last, one doctor (Jewish--who'd have guessed) admitted, treated and cured poor Elie. ("Three hospitals it took," the survivor told *The Times*.)

We trust you'll forgive our lapse into doggerel here and there: the survivor laureate's ravings, whether his story of geysers of blood spouting from corpses buried months before, or his vision of truckloads of Jewish infants thrown by Germans into a flaming pit, or this new tale about flying the length of a first-rate football punt and surviving the landing on a New York street, somehow provoke the poetic in us.

In a prosaic mood, CODOH decided to consult a medical expert on this last story, as well as look into what, if anything, Wiesel's said about this remarkable incident earlier--to determine which of the two the Nobelist, survivor, and professor is: a flying--or a lying--laureate? (Sorry.) Our doctor friend agreed that such a crash was unsurvivable, and he also told us that in the 1950's it was extremely uncommon in New York City for charity cases to be turned away at emergency rooms (that only started happening with any frequency in the 1980's).

Next, we turned to Elie's memoirs, *All Rivers Run to the Sea* --or fly, as the case may be--(New York, Knopf, 1995), and there we found the same taxi story with its one-block flight ("...through the air like a figure in a Chagall painting..." p. 293), but the two greedy, non-admitting hospitals?--in 1995 there was only one! How these stories evolve, eh?

Then we turned to a novel Wiesel wrote devoted to the same incident called, appropriately enough, *The Accident* (published in France in 1961 [Editions du Seuil], in the US 1962 [Hill and Wang]). The author's caution in his memoirs that "My novel *The Accident* was only partly inspired by reality" (p. 298) was like a subliminal message telling us to look at Avon's 1972 paperback edition of the book.

There Wiesel describes an accident that befalls a fictive "Joseph"--at the same time, the same place that the cab struck Elie in real life. Joseph, just like Elie, was

struck while walking with a woman friend. In *The Accident* the lady later tells Joseph what took place:

"A speeding car approaching from the left had caught me, dragging me several yards." (p. 19) Alas, how drab is Elie's fictional imagination in his novel, contrasted to the wonders of his real life! In real life he was blasted through the air for hundreds of feet. In his novel, written more than 35 years ago, he was dragged a drab several yards.

In the novel Wiesel writes:

"Kathleen also told me this: the first hospital to which the ambulance took me refused to let me in. There wasn't any room. All the beds were taken. At least that's what they said. But Kathleen thought it was just a pretext. The doctors, after one glance at me, had decided there was no hope. It was better to be rid of a dying man as soon as possible." (p. 20)

In the novel Joseph (Elie) is not refused care because he had no money and no insurance, but because he looked like a lost cause. The no-money and no-insurance slur against American hospitals and American doctors is traceable, so far, only back to 1995.

What we like about this story is that with Elie Wiesel, Nobel laureate, his lies about his real life are considerably more colorful and inexplicable than what he has dared to invent for his fiction—a strange, even fatal weakness for a novelist, but a source of great strength for a liar and propagandist.

CODOH is going to keep after the facts on this one, both as to what Wiesel has said and as to what really happened. We expect that the police, medical, insurance, and court records of this remarkable collection of incidents will prove very interesting. Meanwhile, two questions for SR readers and the world: if Wiesel, the sainted elder, lies about an ordinary traffic accident, and slanders U.S doctors along the way, what wouldn't he invent about his hated Germans?

I thought I was done with this story for the moment, but something is nagging at me. I have been told that I should let up on Elie Wiesel, that he's "just an old Jew who lost everything at Auschwitz." That's true enough, and I cannot help but be moved when I reflect on what happened to him as a young man, a boy really, at the hands of the Germans.

But Elie is no longer a boy. He's an adult. He's a world-renowned spokesman for what has been called the greatest flood of lies and filth the world has ever known. He can no longer expect to be treated like the boy he was half a century ago. The time is come for him to walk away from the lying before it's too late. Because he is going to be outed now, or he is going to be outed after he dies. In either case he will become the cause of immense shame to his son, to the rest of his family, and to those all over the Western world who have placed their trust in him.

New revisionist quarterly is launched in Europe

We are pleased to report the imminent appearance of a brand-new, scholarly revisionist journal, the German-language Vierteljahreshefte fuer freie Geschichtsforschung (literally, Quarterly for Free Historical Research).

Publisher of the *VffG* is the estimable Flemish revisionist house *Stiftung Vrij Historisch Onderzoek* (Foundation for Free Historical Inquiry [see **SR**38, December 1996, "Revisionism Rampant Round the Globe," p. 7]).

The quarterly's editor is Germar Rudolf, chemist, revisionist, exile, and editor of *Grundlagen zur Zeitgeschichte* (Foundations of Contemporary History), the state-of-the-art revisionist anthology that, in a mammoth effort, has been translated and posted on CODOHWeb with access to all site visitors. [Print-outs of these chapters are available in either German or English.]

The initial issue of the *Vierteljahreshefte* will include articles by authors posted on CODOHWeb, including Robert Faurisson (writing on the Garaudy affair), Michael A. Hoffman II (on the hue and cry against a "pro-Nazi" French chemical company), "Conrad Grieb" (on "self-assisted Holocausts," reported on in SR39 [January 1997], pp. 2-3), and editor Rudolf (on wartime reprisals, jurisprudence and the case of Erich Priebke). Forthcoming editions are planned to feature articles by Arthur Butz, David Irving, Carlo Mattogno, and (we say with special pride) the co-Webmaster of CODOHWeb, SR's own Richard Widmann.

VffG, like CODOH, intends to focus on the forces that repress revisionists as well as presenting the latest, most scholarly research into the facts about the Holocaust and related historical issues. An ambitious outreach is planned to libraries and universities in German-speaking countries.

We know of no one better qualified to head up such an enterprise than Germar Rudolf. We applaud the poetic justice whereby this scientist and patriot, driven from his homeland for defending truth, now captains an enterprise that can help mightily to sink the Auschwitz lie. Torpedoes away!

[Readers fluent in German may subscribe (four issues) by sending DM100, cash or check, to: VffG, c/o Vrij Historisch Onderzoek, Postbus 60, B-2600 Berchem 2 (Flandern), Belgium. The cost for just the first issue: DM30]

Help distribute *The Holocaust Controversy: The Case for Open Debate* by Bradley Smith. The most widely read revisionist essay ever published. This new printing contains our new address for CODOHWeb and a mug shot of myself. Eight panels. Sample copy your sase. 10 copies \$2. 50 copies \$5. 100 or more copies 8 cents each (all postpaid).

SR Worldscope on revisionism and revisionists

- More on the last days of Thies Christophersen, who died in February (SR41). He died in a home for the elderly of kidney cancer at the age of 79, shortly following his release by German authorities, who had arrested him in January. The former agronomist and puncturer of what he named "the Auschwitz Lie" liked to tell his friends that he spent several days under arrest in the Third Reich for telling a Hitler joke; for attempting to right the historical balance on the Second World War, Christophersen spent the last decade of his life in exile
- The neo-German witchhunt goes on. In Heilbronn, Manfred Junger, 58 years old, was sentenced to six months imprisonment without probation last December for distributing pamphlets that challenged official Germany's mandatory history of the Holocaust, above all the Auschwitz "gas chambers." This is the second such conviction for Junger, an ordained Lutheran minister; leaflets distributed on his behalf in Germany prominently display the address of CODOH's Web site. His address: Pastor Manfred Junger, D-7406, Bad Rappenau, Haller Ring 28, Germany.
- After last month's sorry news from Amnesty International (it justifies imprisoning revisionists—see SR41), a non-revisionist's even tepid defense of the rights of Holocaust revisionists might seem welcome. But Britisher Geoffrey Wheatcroft's line in response to European "Holocaust denial" laws: "...the answer to lies is not to imprison the liars but to tell the truth," in his muchnoticed new book *The Controversy of Zion* (Addison-Wesley, New York, 1996, p. 340) is noteworthy, sadly, not so much because intellectuals, even the professional free-speech fakes like AI, are publicly demanding the suppression of Holocaust revisionists—but because almost to a man the free-speech intellectuals "ignore" it.
- Ernst Zuendel's latest (March 15, 1997--#216) newsletter, *Power*, offers a frank, informative, 20-page recap of his entire life, including his career as a publicist, activist and fighter for German honor and historical revisionism. This issue is a valuable review, update, and reference on the over twenty years of media vilification, physical assault, assassination attempts, fire bombings, silencings, arrests, trials, fines, jailings Zuendel has endured--and on the breakthroughs like the *Leuchter Report*, David Irving's about-face on the gas chambers and the numerous other research and historical coups Zuendel has pulled off or inspired. As Ernst girds for yet another round of legal battles (attempts to close down his Website, to deport him to Germany, and to sue him on hollow charges), we encourage readers of SR to help this

courageous, tenacious and good-souled man to the best of their ability.

[A small contribution to Ernst Zuendel at Samisdat, 206 Carlton St., Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5A 2L1; and your request for Power #216 (March 15, 1997), will bring you a copy of this dynamic issue.

David Irving's latest volume, Nuremberg: The Last Battle has been published by Focal Point Publications. Irving's new book is based on a series of articles which were published in German as Nuernberg, die letzte Schlacht in 1979. Nuremberg is totally revised and brought up to date with the latest Revisionist discoveries.

In a book which is sure to thrill Revisionists, Irving argues that crimes of the Nazi's were no greater than those committed by the Allies. Irving takes on the gas chamber story, the human soap story and the torture of the Nazi leadership in Allied captivity. After the relatively tame Goebbels, Irving has returned with a blockbuster. Irving calls the human soap story a "propaganda lie," and the Auschwitz gas chamber "a

fake." The origin of the Six Million is traced back to 1919. The affidavit of Rudolf Hoess is also dealt a death blow. This is a volume that no Revisionist should be without. (Richard Widmann.)

> [Hardcover, 377 pages, illustrated, \$39.95 plus \$4.00 postage. Available from: Institute for Historical Review, P.O. Box 2739, Newport Beach, CA 92659, USA

directories (we have 23 in all), our new provider records the information. (A directory is a kind of computer-folder which contains information related to a specific topic.) We now know not only the total number of hits being registered at CODOHWeb, but we have a breakdown by topical area. This information is helpful to determine which items are attracting attention and which areas of the site can perhaps stand a face-lift. The statistics reveal that for the first two-week period

in March, the following directories / areas were our most popular:

CODOH International - 2098 hits David Irving - 1826 hits Ernst Zuendel Mirror - 1655 hits Zionism, Stalinism and the Holocaust Story - 1530 hits NewsDesk - 1447 hits ThoughtCrimes Archive - 1256 hits Gas Chambers and Gas Vans - 1189 hits Inconvenient History - 925 hits War Crimes Trials - 712 hits

A recent study showed that 92% of college students in the United States have access to personal computers. Would it be too much to surmise that the renewed Campus Project's emphasis on advertising CODOHWeb is beginning to pay off—with snowballing numbers of college students and faculty dropping in?

If these numbers were to remain constant, and not grow as we have every reason to expect they will, we would achieve some 445,000 hits in the course of one year. These statistics demonstrate the unique outreach capabilities of the Internet. Unlike some Revisionist efforts, which might be criticized for "preaching to the choir," CODOHWeb takes the good news of Revisionism to new

audiences all over the world.

A recent study showed that 92% of college students in the United States have access to personal computers. Would it be too much to surmise that the renewed Campus Project's emphasis on advertising CODOHWeb is beginning to pay off—with snowballing numbers of college students and faculty dropping in?

Our incoming e-mail (CODOHmail@aol.com) shows an overwhelming percentage of those who choose to write support our efforts. In recent weeks, we have seen mail coming in from all over Europe, including a number of Swiss who are looking for alternatives to the enforced guilt of the "Nazi Gold" story.

The Internet gives over 60 million people access to CODOHWeb. Every day new people, in growing numbers, are discovering CODOHWeb and a treasure-trove of Revisionist truth. The future opportunities for CODOHWeb now seem boundless.

INTERNET ROUNDUP: CODOHWeb Gets the Truth Out

Richard Widmann

As mentioned in last month's issue of Smith's Report, CODOHWeb was forced to find a new on-line service provider when our old provider went out of business. The new provider offers better service and faster line-speeds, which enable more people to access our site with better response-time. Another benefit of the change has been that a wider array of statistical data is now available.

During the first two weeks with our new provider, CODOHWeb registered 17,092 hits! That is, 17,092 documents on CODOHWeb were accessed by readers. That's an average of 1,220 documents per day!

"Hits" is typical computer-lingo for designating the number of times a particular site or Webpage is accessed. Each time someone requests any of the CODOHWeb

Of Bomb Shelters and Bombshells: Auschwitz Crematoria

One thing sure to strike new visitors to CODOHWeb -even amid the general wealth of revisionist scholarship, the
materials in eight foreign languages, the news, the
commentary, the updates from the free speech front--is the
extent to which CODOHWeb has become a bastion of
informed, sophisticated technical evaluation and analysis
of the central evidence for the Holocaust.

This aspect of revisionism, more than anything else, may prove decisive for a new generation of students of scientific, engineering and other technical disciplines at colleges and universities targeted by our ads announcing CODOHWeb.

A growing number of articles and studies accessible at CODOHWeb home in on a key component of the Holocaust allegation--whether or not the crematoria at Auschwitz were employed for mass murder by gassing. To date, revisionists such as Robert Faurisson, Arthur Butz, Germar Rudolf, Fritz Berg, Carlo Mattogno, Fred Leuchter and growing numbers more have devoted far more energy to gathering and studying the evidence for these claims than anybody else, perhaps with one exception—the Frenchman, J.C. Pressac.

These revisionists' careful weighings of the scientific, documentary evidence on hand are either posted, available through, or being posted to the CODOHWeb site. As for Pressac's labored efforts to show from Auschwitz ruins and blueprints that the crematoria plans or relics evince mass murder, none has studied his findings more carefully or respectfully than the revisionists.

Just posted to CODOHWeb is a brilliant new study of the Auschwitz crematoria by a revisionist, a lengthy, wellresearched article by Samuel Crowell who analyzes some three dozen supposed "criminal traces" of homicidal gassing Pressac claims to have unearthed in his study of the crematoria. Crowell, steeped in both the Auschwitz blueprints and the technical and commercial literature of Germany's air defense program, convincingly interprets the hardware and construction plans Pressac takes for evidence of mass murder as routine measures and equipment to make these places gas-tight bomb-shelters (S.O.P. in shelters from 1939 on throughout the war). Crowell's "Technique and Operation of German Anti-Gas Shelters in World War II: A Critique of J.C. Pressac's "Criminal Traces" is the latest revisionist bombshell on Pressac's lonely efforts to give scientific sanction to the "Auschwitz lie."

Also new on CODOHWeb is Andrew Allen's recent demolition of the claim that the Germans blew up the Auschwitz crematoria to hide the evidence of gassings. Allen, a distinguished attorney and activist for revisionism who has worked in the defense of such OSI victims as John

Demjanjuk and Martin Bartesch, and who successfully sued the Simon Wiesenthal Center and other defendants for canceling a Holocaust revisionist free speech exhibit in California, supplies an informed and reasoned answer to that particular crematoria question: the Germans weren't much concerned with the rooms alleged to house the gas chambers. They were very much concerned with destroying what evidence they could for the crematory ovens. Why? When the Soviets captured Majdanek they made the powerfully effective propaganda charge that the crematoria were used by the Germans as a weapon for mass killings. This is why in many instances the Germans, retreating



from one camp after another, tried to destroy the crematoria but oftentimes--Auschwitz and Birkenau being the most obvious examples--left the "gas chambers" largely intact.

Very technical to be sure—but this is certainly the murder case of the century and we revisionists, at last, are giving the evidence the attention it deserves. There's obvious interest here for collegians, particularly from the scientific and technical disciplines and schools, whose students are more prone to disdain exterminationist arguments from emotion and authority in favor of revisionist arguments from reason and evidence.

The Tinbergen Archives-a select catalog

Would you like to own authenticated photocopies of actual historical documents--ferreted out by revisionist researcher Cal Tinbergen from the U.S. Archives, from Israeli archives, and from other authoritative sources around the world--that put the lie to the official versions of the "Russian" revolution; the U.S. Army "liberation" of Dachau; the "spontaneity" of postwar German confessions; and--in the separate testimony of Polish chemists and the wartime Zionist leader of Hungary--the existence of homicidal gas chambers at Auschwitz? If you would, then we've got the catalogue for you!

These aren't revisionist essays or arguments. Each one is a document from an impeccable Establishment source,

whether U.S. Ambassador William Bullitt on the Jewish presence in Stalin's regime; the U.S. State Department on the need to suppress evidence of Jewish involvement in the Red revolution; British historian Hugh Trevor-Roper in an exclusive interview on the wacky gas chamber testimony of Kurt Gerstein; long-suppressed U.S. Army footage of the capture of Dachau; Hungarian Zionist leader Rudolf Kastner's electrifying postwar testimony in Israel about Auschwitz; the studiously overlooked (by Exterminationists!) 1995 Cracow Forensic Institute report on the Auschwitz gas chambers; and more!

If you're hungry for historical truth, newly liberated from the archives of the enemy, call, write or fax me your address and I'll get the 4-page Tinbergen Catalogue to you or a friend (I'll need his address) pronto.

Revisionism to the world!

Porter & the German Court. Germany seeks to extend its internal suppression of free inquiry beyond its national borders. Carlos Porter isn't having it. Includes translations of "The Order of Punishment" by Judge Zeilinger; Porter's full, dramatic "Response" to the ruling; a courageous open letter of support from Danish Ph.D. Christian Lindtner, "Germany Violates the Freedom of Expression."

Now includes the subpoena from the German court and Porter's remarkably direct defiance of it.

15pp. \$10

First full-text English translation of Heinrich Himmler's important Posen speech

No wartime speech on German policy toward the Jews is as controversial as the one Heinrich Himmler, commandant of the SS, delivered at Posen in eastern Germany (now western Poland) on October 4, 1943. Exterminationists have extracted sentences (in often questionable renderings of the German) to prove Himmler was discussing the "Holocaust" policy in secret conclave with top Nazi leaders; meanwhile, revisionist scholars have differed on the significance and even the authenticity of the Himmler speech's "Jewish" passages.

Now, for the first time, you can decide for yourself, thanks to professional translator and revisionist scholar Carlos Porter's English translation of the full text of the 113-page transcript of Himmler's speech. Himmler's 4 October 1943 address at Posen is a fascinating document that must be confronted, and is well worth the effort.

Order your copy today.

38pp. Spiral bound \$25

The Founding Myths of Israeli Politics. Roger Garaudy. The book which caused an uproar in France by confronting Zionism and the Jewish Holocaust story head-on. Includes an introduction, and a 19-section addendum. 131pp. Spiral-bound. \$35

THE AD. This is the one that has them worried. Not a stand-alone, but backed up by CODOHWeb, our high tech link on the World Wide Web, where more than 1,200 documents are being accessed day after day by people

THE HOLOCAUST CONTROVERSY

Ignore the Thought Police.

Read the evidence.

Judge for yourself.

WWW.CODOH.COM

brsmith@lightspeed.net

from all over the world. Nothing you can do, for the cost of this ad, will produce as much access to revisionist scholarship. It costs \$40 to \$140 per month to run it one time each week for four weeks, depending on the paper in which it appears. This is the one. Help me run it.

Thanks, and best wishes!

Bradley R. Smith

Smith's Report

Subscriptions: \$29 for one year. 11 issues \$35 for Canada and Mexico \$39 for overseas addresses

Subscriptions, contributions, correspondence to

Bradley R. Smith
Post Office Box 3267
Visalia, California 93278

T: 209.627.8757 F: 209.733.2653 E-mail: brsmith@lightspeed.net

> On the Internet: http://www.codoh.com

All checks payable to Bradley R. Smith