

Smith's Report

"THE STORY OF A BOOK"

Number 93

WWW.CODOH.COM

August 2002

NOTEBOOK

At last! *Break His Bone: The Private Life of a Holocaust Revisionist*, is not only "at" the printer, but thanks to Germar Rudolf cleaning up the electronic formatting, it has been accepted by the printer and we have a printing date -- the week of 23 September. I expected to have the book the first week in July. "That's life," as the tough guys in New York/New Jersey have it. "Deal with it." I have reproduced the updated (reduced to fit on the page) book cover on page 7.

And I now have, as well, the first new mock-up of the Web page for *Bones*. It has a classy and informative look to it. Both of these events occurred during the last couple days. There is still a great deal of work to be done, but the various parts of the project are falling into place, one by one. My only concern is that, because of the delays in the printing date for *Bones* -- some 70 to 80 days -- and the resultant lack of any sales income whatever, that I am going to eat too far into my dwindling pro motional budget and that that

Continued on page 2

REVISIONISTS IMPROVISING

"EACH ACCORDING TO HIS
APPTITUDES OR TASTES"

Revisionists make up a heterogeneous group. They are loath to unite with one another, a trait that brings as many benefits as drawbacks. Their individualism makes them unsuited for concerted action. At the same time, the police are unable to infiltrate such a disparate group and keep it under surveillance; they cannot work their way up the channels of the revisionist structure because there simply is no such thing. These individuals feel free to improvise, each according to his aptitudes or tastes, revisionist activities that may take the most diverse forms. [...] The mere amateur is shoulder to shoulder with the scholar, as is the man of action with the researcher in his archives."

[Robert Faurisson, referenced in *Smith's Report* #92, July 2002.]

A Worm's Eye View

By Germar Rudolf

Revisionism in the United States of America in particular, and in the English language world in general, has always been closely associated with the Institute for Historical Review. Now that it is obvious that the IHR is in a serious crisis, perhaps a lethal one, some revisionists run around like headless chickens, lamenting about the "gloom and doom" of revisionism. As if revisionism were identical with what is done at the IHR.

Continued on page 3

LETTERS

SR 92 came in the mail and I read it right through. Electrifying! Great to find you in a fighting mood. And doubly great to hear about your link-up with Germar Rudolph as your Internet Service Provider. That has great potential. Maybe as a starter you should move to the Great Smokies like Ingrid and Ernst! (The traditional enemies of freedom would start referring to it as the new "Eagle's Nest!") Here are my first thoughts on your lead article.

I've been in the Butz camp for a long time. I have never thought that victory for revisionism was right around the corner. I have annoyed some revisionists regarding this subject because of what I have seen as their unwarranted optimism.

It seems to me that Frederick Toben has the right perspective. He is suggesting ways to improve the strategy and tactics of revisionists. The forces arrayed against us are immense, but they are not all-powerful. The answer to our being shut out of a public intellectual life is, as a starter, to produce an impeccable intellectual journal. I had hoped that the IHR Journal would do that, and it was good, but could have become better.

You had the right idea - that we needed to focus on the really weak points of the Holocaust promoters, and to me that has always been the censorship they engage in, their fear of a sane public discussion about revisionist theory. Almost no Americans, with the exceptions of revisionists themselves, are aware of the European anti-free-speech laws that support the Holocaust there. Of course, in our own media, it is completely shut out. For starters, we need to get that fact out to the public.

But what we need most right now is something similar to a "Council of Presidents," like the Zionists have, to decide strategies and to raise funds. Why can't we form such a Council - using the strategy (not the name) to discuss a kind of unified strategy? It would have to be formal, not casual or periodic like the IHR conferences. I have a lot of experience with this. I pushed it on the Irish groups interested in Northern Ireland years ago, but I failed because of institutional and personal egos, and because of differing agendas.

As for coordination, you need someone who is energetic, perhaps

younger than men like you and I, who can stay on top of revisionist strategic and tactical issues. You do not need a "leader," just someone to call the meetings and maybe chair them, etc. The less formality the better. Meetings are a problem, many different personalities and agendas in one room, but getting together regularly is the one chance there is for many people to pull in the same direction, together.

Meetings were a problem for the Irish. We invited all comers who were willing to identify as even loosely on "our side," which included folks from the wild-men to the wimps, but mostly the former and they came to dominate the get-togethers. They managed to do several meetings a year, and they did get some work done. Some meetings were held as telephone conference calls, but they were no substitute for face-to-café meetings. With revisionists scattered all around the world, telephone conferencing might be the best way to handle the logistics and costs.

The Irish have a great trait they often joke about. The first item on any agenda is "the split"! That may be one reason the Irish now have little real political influence in the U.S. The current downturn of interest in revisionism is a glitch, in my opinion, related in many ways with our preoccupation with other things. The Middle East, the Bush "wars," and particularly Bush's jingoistic campaign with its pro-Jewish and by implication pro-Holocaust overtones, to name the most obvious.

The chipping away at the Moloch can continue as soon as the tide subsides. But Toben's ideas need to be considered. As the Irish say, God bless the work! Isn't God on the side of truth and justice in the long run?

Albert Doyle

NOTEBOOK continued

will become a problem..

Meanwhile, I have settled a conceptual issue with how I am going to handle *Smith's Report*. The issue is that on the Website for *Bones*, I want to offer a free newsletter. The purpose of this "new" newsletter is to build a mailing list of new people. Everyone who clicks on to the site and immediately buys *Bones* will automatically be added to this new mailing list. But most people who click onto the site the

first time will browse, not buy the book, and move on to some other site. That person's name is lost to me, probably forever.

When a browser clicks onto the site I want her to leave a contact number. Her email address. That way I can get in touch with her next week, next month, remind her about *Bones*, offer her something else to buy, or simply inform her of what is happening with the book, how it is doing, what is being said about it, who is trying to suppress it - ask for a contribution to keep the project going.

Ask and ye shall receive.

My idea for "capturing" the email addresses of those who come to the *Bones* page is to offer them something free. Not an original idea, but the straight-forward way that everyone who makes money on the Internet does it. Something that is perceived to be valuable, and that is FREE, is offered to the browser in exchange for her email address. In Internet marketing jargon, when the browser signs up for her free gift, this is called "opting in." She agrees to the option of making her email address available in exchange for receiving something that she believes she wants and is - free!

My first idea was to offer a free newsletter in exchange for the email address of all those who click onto the site. I mentioned it here. It still seems to me to be the right idea. I will offer a free email "newsletter," sent perhaps twice a month, telling the story of how the campaign to promote and market *Bones*, and therefore revisionism, is going. What that would mean is that I would be doing two newsletters, *Smith's Report* as you have it here, and an email newsletter that I have thought to call "The Story of a Book."

he issue that I have been unable to solve is that I would now be writing two newsletters in place of one. Sometimes it's difficult for me to keep one newsletter on schedule. How will I do two of them? Not only would I have to write two newsletters, but one would be free while I would be charging for the other. There's something not quite right about that. These two issues then, doubling the workload by producing two newsletters, distributing one newsletter free while charging for the other, bothered me from the beginning but it was a problem that I could not solve.

Then the other day I was on the telephone to Ingrid Rimland in Tennessee and we were talking about this and that, about business I suppose, and for some reason that I do not understand and cannot trace, it occurred to me how I would solve the "two-newsletter problem." I would write only one newsletter but publish two editions. I would continue to publish *Smith's Report* in "hard" copy – the version you have in your hand. At the same time I would publish SR in an "electronic" version as email. And here is the kicker – I would not charge for either one. Both would be "free."

When I first started publishing *Smith's Report* it was free to anyone who wanted it. There were about seventy-five people on the list. With each issue of the *Report*, I would ask for contributions. Some who received it contributed, some didn't. I kept track and after a year or so if a certain name had made no contributions I just dropped her. No fuss, no muss. A minimum of record keeping.

Later, thinking to be more "professional," I began charging for subscriptions. It increased my paperwork significantly, but did not increase income. I got about the same income from SR as a free publication as I did for one sold by subscription. Clearly, it is contributors who keep the project alive, not "subscribers." It was contributors when SR was free, and it is has been contributors these last six or seven years while SR has been available only by subscription. Contributions then, not subscriptions.

What was the solution that came to me while talking to Ingrid? I would produce only one newsletter. I would print it on paper as it is here, and I would distribute it via the Internet electronically. *And I would not charge for either version.* SR would be free in print form to everyone who contributes to the project. It will be free via email to everyone who asks for it (the "Internet" version will cost nothing to distribute – literally, nothing. Instead of having two newsletters, and two titles, I would have one newsletter, with one title, being distributed free in two different ways.

What title?

Smith's Report

"THE STORY OF A BOOK"

An Opt-In mailing list is everything to an Internet marketing pro-

gram. It is where and how you get your people. I have it worked out, for the time being anyhow. Thanks, Ingrid. I don't know how you did it, but you did something.

REVISIONISTS IMPROVISING *continued*

I am not surprised that Americans make this serious error of judgment. They have the reputation of looking at things from a worm's eye view. Many Americans appear to not understand that the world is bigger than the USA, and in this case, that revisionism is bigger than the IHR. Many of those associated with the Institute believe that they are the only ones capable of promoting revisionism on a worldwide scale. Fortunately for revisionism, they are wrong.

Beginning in the early 1990s, almost all revisionist research and publishing activities have taken place in Europe, primarily in France, Germany, and Italy. The fact that this research, with its potentially inspiring and spurting effects on revisionism all over the world, is almost unknown to readers of the English language is due largely to the inability of the IHR to publish and promote it.

Since 1993, the IHR itself has done almost no scholarly work of any substance. The Institute has not made the results of European revisionist research available to the English-speaking world, either through the publication of books, or publication in its *Journal of Historical Review*. If it had, we wouldn't be where we are now, surrounded by people fearful that there is no more revisionist research to be done, no new work to be published and promoted, and that there are no new faces to make it flourish, once again, in the 21st century.

Though Robert Faurisson has remarked that revisionists are tired, I am happy to report that his generalization overlooks many factors. From where I work, from my own experience, I can assure revisionists everywhere that nothing could be more mistaken. The research I am helping to organize in Europe, to be carried out by a team of German engineers, by Carlo Mattogno and Jürgen Graf, and now by the Australian engineer Richard Krege, is groundbreaking revisionist work, and worth all the support we can possibly get.

There is no need for revisionists to feel pessimistic for the future of revisionism. We lack the financial support that we need, but that has always been the case, and we have done a mountain of work anyway. I will prove, many of us will prove, during the next couple years that there is no reason for the "gloom and doom" that some believe is hanging over revisionism.

HISTORICAL REVISIONISM

**THIRD
INTERNATIONAL
CONFERENCE IN
ITALY**

14 October 2002

PRESS RELEASE

At a moment when the rulers of the world, still trusting largely in the power of the prevailing historical and political lies about 20th century Europe, are making plans for more "democratizing" massacres – specifically in an already devastated and starving Iraq – and at a time when all the world's conformists are getting ready for pompous commemorations of last September's blows against the very seat of the barbarous and bloodthirsty imperialism that is planning the massacres, the cultural association Nuovo Ordine Europeo (Trieste) is preparing another international conference in favour of free historical research, not yet hindered by Italian law.

The theme of this conference is to be "

**"In Memory of the Millions
of Civilian Victims of the
Democracies and their
Lies."**

Amongst the scheduled speakers: Captain Ahmed

Rami (Morocco), Professor Vincent Reynouard (France), Dr Ahmad Soroush Nejad (director of the Neda Institute, Iran), and, if the justice system of their country, Switzerland, permits, Messrs Gaston-Armand Amaudruz (editor-publisher of "Courrier du Continent") and René-Louis Berclaz (head of the association Vérité & Justice).

Date: Saturday, the 14th of October 2002.

Place: Verona, at a location to be announced later.

Those who wish to attend this gathering or to help with its preparation – the resources of the organizers are extremely modest: your contributions are indispensable for the success of this project – are requested to contact the N.O.E. head of communications.

Nuovo Ordine Europeo
Via dei Navali, 35
34144 Trieste
nuovord@netscape.net

PERSONAL OPINIONS OF THE AUTHOR

ERNST ZUENDEL

The phone has been ringing off the book lately with calls from far and wide with news as well as rumors about inter-revisionist struggle, disputes over which direction revisionism should take, flare-ups and temper tantrums, personality clashes, misunderstandings, wounded egos, ultimatums, firings from the IHR and its board, resignations of directors – in short, turmoil and disquiet all around.

To this old war-horse, bruised by similar developments over the years, battle-hardened after decades of legal fights and endless court cases which brought me in contact with all kinds of people – agenda driven oddballs, quirky eccentrics, lone rangers and sometimes outright geniuses – all these problems, therefore, seem nothing

unusual. It is the same old push-and-pull, shove-and-tug of people's personalities and emotions that I had to cope with for at least the last three decades while trying to keep historical revisionism and revisionists focused on the goal of ferreting out the truth, debunking the Holocaust myth – and thereby bring our enemies to heel.

Let's face it: to swim against the stream of popular beliefs ingrained by decades of propaganda, the way Revisionists have done it, to challenge the most fanatical, well-organized, rich endowed and globally connected conspiracy passing itself off as a quasi-religious-political movement, was no small feat. It did take a special kind of personality and independent thinker who would have dared to undertake such a task, fully conscious of the immense power and influence of the adversary. If ever there was an unequal struggle – we revisionists have fought this struggle bravely. In the process and in the end, we have clearly worn our enemies out – even checkmated them – at least on one important front.

Although weary ourselves and a bit stunned by the unexpected events of 9/11 and their aftermath, there is no doubt that we and we alone were the reasons why the large Jewish outfits with their multi-million dollar budgets decided to shift their focus way from their sacred cash cow, the so-called "Holocaust". These people, our declared enemies, knew that we Revisionists had them boxed in with our findings and arguments. We had them cornered.

The simple fact is that all their vicious persecution of people like Dr. Faurisson, Ditleb Felderer, Thies Christophersen, Udo Walendy, Ingrid Weckert, Siegfried Verbeke, Otto Ernst Remer, Walter Lueftl, Germar Rudolf, Fred Leuchter, Dr. Wilhelm Staeglich, Fredrick Toben, myself – and scores of less known but equally courageous writers and publishers around the world – had amassed a massive amount of evidence of lying, cheating, forgery and fraud relating to the Holocaust – which ultimately revealed a criminal intent to defraud entire nations of hundreds of billions of dollars and Deutschmarks using a concocted World War II propaganda tool. The perpetrators were caught up in their own web of lies that they had woven for others.

We Revisionists exposed the lies of Vrba, Hilberg, Wilkumorski, Mermelstein and others. It was the evidence of 55 years of exhaustive research done by hundreds of people the world over – correlated and made visible and relevant in preparations for the many unfair, typically Soviet-type Revisionist show trials meant to do us in – which, in the end, revealed the Hoax of the 20th Century in all its brazenness and callousness to a global audience.

"Gas Chamber" Revisionism might be less topical for the moment – but Holocaust abuse and misuse for the Jewish agenda is still very much an important daily issue confronting us, for it serves as their working model in modern times – now with their new "War on Terror" – for how unscrupulous people and organizations can manipulate entire states and societies into doing their bidding, based on nothing but a tapestry of lies woven into a gruesome, emotional and yet entirely false story!

The Holocaust example can be used to show how Israel and the Jewish Lobby have worked their "atrocious" racket against the Palestinians for the last fifty years in the Middle East [...] The ghoulish, emotionally sadistic charges that Palestinians deliberately send their children into the line of fire of Israeli guns, merely go give Israel a bad name in the world, has precedents in the Holocaust hoax. Only minds that can wallow in regions healthy people would have a hard time approaching can claim that the Palestinians deliberately do not bury their victims of the recent Israeli killing spree in the murderous assault on Jenin, but let them decompose in the open street – or even raid their own cemeteries to increase the stench, to give photographers of the world press photo opportunities to show up Israeli soldiers and ~~soldiers~~, merely new versions of old propaganda techniques, need to be exposed by Revisionists in their newsletters, talks, conferences, on their websites and in their radio and television interviews – *with relevant illustrations as to precedent*. Root causes need to be laid bare. We do not have to reinvent ourselves – but the boot camp for Revisionism is behind us!

A direct, distinct, clearly traceable line leads from the Balfour Declaration to the alleged Holocaust, the Nuremberg trials and to the

founding of the state of Israel. This bandit state let would not have been possible without World War II and the alleged, fraudulent "fate of the Jews". The suffering of the Palestinians and Arabs is happening today because of this bogus history that was peddled as the truth in and after World War II. Revisionism has made that all-important, crucial link. It's there for all to see!

We revisionists are far from irrelevant ... [even after 9/11] ... and we should not feel discouraged, disoriented and confused. On the contrary, we're more relevant than ever. Our role, from now on, will be the prudent application of the hard lessons that we learned at (our enemy's) hands. We can afford to step back from the excavation sites of World War II and, in the years to come, function more as commentators, interrogators – as modern-day Paul Reveres, *focusing on precedent*. Holocaust deception is a *precedent*, a profoundly relevant one! They gave the world a blueprint of how they operate, which must be applied to this so-called "War on Terror"!

We can and should alert the public to the clear and present danger these people represent, their modus operandi. Therefore, it should not be upsetting to us or to our supporters that there is not that much new to report by Revisionist researchers about what did and did not go on in distant, World War II Majdanek, Auschwitz, Dachau, Buchenwald or Bergen Belsen. The basic research on this topic has been done – by us. The Truth is out, the facts are clear – thanks to us. Archeologists don't dig over the same ruins for years, once the initial digs have yielded their definitive results!

Not only does the Jewish invasion and subsequent partition and occupation of Palestine, and the creation of a Jewish state, lead directly to today's Mideast violence – it also led to attacks on Americans in Africa, in Oman and ultimately to New York – regardless of who has actually planned and executed these acts of terror. The pity is, that the American view of the Middle East is not really America's view. It is the view of the American body politic with a Jewish head and brain grafted onto it....

[Excerpted from Ernst's July newsletter, "POWER."]

REVISIONISM IN NORWAY

A NEW DEVELOPMENT

In early August I received a communication from Norway announcing a new revisionist Website <http://ww2facts.tripod.com/crap/testimony/wiesel/generalbw.html>.

I couldn't help but notice the word "crap" in the URL. The owner of the site introduced himself as Arne Hansen. CODOHWeb has been one inspiration for him. Hansen is very upbeat about revisionism.

"You ask why I have such a positive outlook. Just a few months ago, I wouldn't have dared to put up a Website. Now I have, and it feels great. At first I didn't dare to have an e-mail address on my Website, but now have one. I think I'll take it step by step. And at one point the cat will claw itself out of the bag. I'm screaming within to go public. You suggest I be careful, that I may lose my job. I think I will. I don't know. My two "bosses" are typical "normals," Social Democrats, with the typically accepted views on women's lib, racism and World War II. I have overheard their conversations and the mainstream babble goes on and on."

"You ask if revisionism is widely accepted in Norway. No! Norway has three big newspapers – 400000 – 600000 circulation, and from time to time there is an article that calls Holocaust deniers stupid, 17-year-old-skinhead-nazis. Just recently this has taken a turn, when 'Aftenposten' (Evening Mail) published an article by a ¼ Jew, Jahn Otto Johansen, who, as far as I know, for the first time used the word "revisionism" in a Norwegian newspaper. I was outside in the parking lot when I read the article. Johansen said that revisionism had to be met with the greatest of all weapons -- documentation. I remember I laughed when I read it."

I'll give you three concrete examples of Norwegian revisionists and their work and fate.

1) Erik Rune Hansen, who has a Website <http://www.nnsb.net>. He was so tired of defending himself, standing alone, against an "anti"-racist group called Blitz (a Marxist group who got their inspiration from a 'ter-

ror-ish' Marxist, Danish group that calls itself 'BZ' (a word-play "occupation"). He moved to Poland and started distributing his stuff from there, got a Polish girlfriend, and has visited Auschwitz time and time again. He was once interviewed on the Holocaust in general in a student newspaper that distributes 80,000 copies each month.

Immediately thereafter a poll was taken, and it showed that "5% of Norwegian students now no longer believe in the Holocaust." (The definition and wording are not mine) Anyway, after that is when all hell happened. He was even on TV, debating with a former Auschwitz inmate, Erling Bauck. But the show was edited so that the debate contained nothing of importance. It didn't show the many holes in what the former inmate said, and it didn't show the logic of our side.

2) Tore Tvedt, leader and founder of [vigrid](http://www.vigrid.net): < www.vigrid.net > has had one court case against him. His case is more than interesting, because in Norway you have the right to associate with any religion you choose. Vigrid is an Odinist society. He was accused of racism, and found guilty in 'Misguiding youth with his false religion.' No joke!!! This was incredible. Immediately after the verdict, Tore ran off to the mountains to gather his strength. Meanwhile, the newspapers ran story after story about how he was on the run. There were so many stories that I started to believe them. Then Tore and I met on a parking lot two weeks ago and yesterday at a shopping mall. So all the stories about him running away are not true. He is being smeared. His lawyer has appealed.

3) Erik Blücher, whom you might have heard of. He had to move to Sweden in the end. In the early 1980's he translated the 4-page newspaper Holocaust News from English to Norwegian and distributed it large scale. That paper convinced a lot of people that there was something important about revisionism.

One time Elie Wiesel made a request to the Norwegian Government to prohibit Holocaust denial in Norway. Minister of Justice, Mona Røkke, declined to do so. This might happen in Denmark very soon, however (within a few months). As you might know, Faurisson himself was on a visit to Denmark in March this year. Wiesel is coming to Norway later this year.

I became a Holocaust revisionist without ever having the faintest idea

that I would. Now when I read in the papers that "Goldhagen is making a good point", or that Lucy Dawidowicz made a 'noble visit' to Oslo, I understand the situation. I am planning to go on television. Maybe in two years. Because of my lack of formal education, the major Norwegian Television Stations won't be afraid to put me on air, "knowing" that it will be an easy match for whomever I debate. I'll

make sure you get a copy of the videotape. You'll have to learn Norwegian!

Thanks for your encouragement. To me, you're one of the big stars," just like Faurisson, Weber and Felderer -- and many others, of course. And Brad, keep up the fight. I see "we" have lost a lot of good people on the way, like Felderer and others. But Faurisson, you and others seem to be putting up a hell of a fight. Besides, a

new generation of revisionists is coming. I am 33 and I have younger people than me who are really waking up. Too bad you are not in the neighborhood, because tonight some of us are having a Holocaust meeting.

Kind regards from Norway.

Arne Hansen

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT BERKELEY

THE DAILY CALIFORNIAN (Friday, May 10, 2002)

Memory: Should We Forget It?

By Bradley R. Smith

Hindus in India, recalling that centuries ago a Hindu temple once existed at a place where a Muslim temple now exists, destroy it and vow to build a new Hindu temple over the ruins. Muslims now remember that a Muslim temple once stood for centuries on ground where Hindus plan to build a Hindu temple. Full of memory, Hindus and Muslims riot, murder, burn and pillage each other's communities in the name of what they remember.

Jews remember when they ruled all of Palestine. They don't really remember but that's what it says in their tribal anthology, and many believe it. They remember what happened to the Jews of Europe during Hitler's regime, among many other things. Like the rest of us, Jews remember what is most profitable for them to remember. As for the rest, they forget it. Recalling yet again, however, what they "remembered" for more than a hundred generations, they returned to Palestine, re-conquered it and cleansed the land of most of its indigenous population.

Palestinians recall - they teach - their children to never forget - that in living memory all of historical

Palestine was the homeland for Palestinians and that they have the right to return to it. Israeli children and Palestinian children are both taught, as it were, to live in and through memory, memory that is sanctified by blood, suffering, loss and, above all, by itself.

Nobel laureate Elie Wiesel has made something of a career writing and speaking on the importance of memory. Recently, writing in Parade magazine about fanaticism, he observes that in the 21st century we "cannot continue to live with fanaticism-and only we ourselves can stem it." How are we to do this? The most efficient remedy is memory.

To remember means to recognize a time other than the present; to remember means to acknowledge the possibility of a dialogue. In recalling an event, I provoke its rebirth in me. In evoking a face, I place myself in relation to it. The memory of an ancient joy or defeat is proof that nothing is definitive, nor is it irrevocable. To live through a catastrophe is bad; to forget it is worse. I am going to presume that Elie Wiesel is speaking to all of us, not merely to

a chosen few. That being the case, what is it that he would have Palestinians remember? The ethnic cleansing of hundreds of thousands of Palestinian civilians from their villages and towns? Three generations of Palestinian children growing up in refugee camps? The fact that there is one law in Israel for Israeli Jews and another for Israeli Arabs? The images on television of Jewish soldiers humiliating Arab men that are imbedded in the memory of all who watch it happen on television day after day?

Are those the things-and they make up only the tip of the remembrance iceberg that pulls Palestinian memory-that Elie Wiesel wants Palestinians to include in their recollections of their past? If so, why? If not, why not? I am going to speculate that Mr. Wiesel is not so interested in Palestinian and Arab memory as he is in Israeli and Jewish memory. And there's the rub. We chose to remember that which serves our own interests best. All of us. It's as true in family as it is with the state, the church, or the tribe. I have a suggestion-let's

Break His Bones

Bradley R. Smith

This is the book that creates a "human face" for Holocaust revisionists and revisionist theory. This is the antidote to the slander and false accusations that the Holocaust Industry makes against revisionism and revisionists. This is the story that reveals the programmatic exploitation of suppression, censorship and taboo by the Industry to limit intellectual freedom with regard to the Holocaust question. Here you will discover why an organization like the ADL is driven to make the ludicrous charge that this author is one of the "Top Ten extremists" in America.

Smith remains an incorrigible romantic. He believes that a free press and open debate are preferable to taboo and censorship. Despite a mountain of evidence to the contrary, he still believes there is an outside chance that he will be able to find a way to convince our intellectual elites—including a seemingly incorrigible professional class—that to encourage intellectual freedom is a good, not an evil, even with regard to the Holocaust question.

US\$19.00 CAN\$30.00 £13.00

ISBN 0-9723756-0-0

5 1900 >

Bradley R. Smith

PO Box 439016

San Ysidro, CA 92143

www.breakhisbones.com

www.codoh.com



9 780972 375603

Break His Bones

The Private Life of a
Holocaust Revisionist



Bradley R. Smith

give "memory" a rest and begin to look at each other anew, without the preconceptions that memory has structured in the mind of each one of us. An old Jewish friend put it nicely in the title to one of his books: "Be Here Now." He wasn't addressing the necessity of memory to meet the practicalities of everyday life-I still wear shoes and every morning I intend to remember where I left them the night

before-but the practicalities of the subjective life.

It is in the subjective life of memory where anger, violence, and the justification of greed are nourished. Memory is where jealousy, bitterness and the lust for revenge hang out. Memory is what prevents our seeing the other as he is now, what prevents us from entering into a new relationship with him instantly. It is memory that prevents our recogniz-

ing the opportunities that exist between ourselves and the other in the only life we have-at this moment.

Let's forget it.

Bradley R. Smith is the author of "Break His Bones: The Private Life of a Holocaust Revisionist."

THE SITUATION

Last time this month CODOH-Web was closed while Germar Rudolf transferred it to a new Internet Service Provider, his own. There were some problems with the original provider, but CODOHWeb went back online 14 August.

Break his Bones was at the printers, but formatted in "print delimited (pdf) files" that the printer could not work with. Rudolf cleaned up the files and now the printer has what he wants and we have a printing date for the book.

My local Web designer was very talented but we were getting nowhere with the Web page for *Bones* because, as it turned out, he simply did not have time to do the work. I now have a new designer for the *Bones* Web site and he's already worked out the model for the internal pages. It looks good, logical, and classy.

I have located many good sources for information for every aspect of getting publicity and marketing via the Internet. I don't need any more information. I will keep my eye open as I move along, but the time is come to stop preparing for the coming campaign and to start setting up my first forays into the public arena.

I'm not kidding myself that it will go easy. No one has ever put his shoulder to marketing, really marketing, a revisionist title. No one has ever used a specific revisionist title to promote radio and television publicity.

No one has ever used a straightforward revisionist book as the basis of a speaking tour. No one has ever attempted to market a revisionist book via the Internet, or a Web page. We have all "posted" revisionist titles on our Web pages, but then we have let it go at that. As if the world were going to come to us. I don't think so. I think what is needed that I go out into the world and meet it head-on.

I was to have had *Bones* the first week in July but won't have it until the third week in September. That's okay. That's life. But that means that I have lost about seven weeks. I lost the money I would have produced with the book during those seven weeks. It would not have been a lot of money, I expect sales at the beginning to be slow. Without those sales, however, I am forced to use my reserves, a tactical failure.

This is a key moment in time for this work. I want to do something exciting and productive. I'm going to work as imaginatively as I can. I need your continued support to bring the opening phase of the project to fruition. I think we can make this one work better than anything we have ever done. Your support will play a major factor in this story develops. The support will not come from anywhere else. Only from you.

Thanks.



Bradley

NOTICE

I will no longer charge a yearly subscription fee for *Smith's Report*. It will be free to all who help me in any way whatever, just as it was when I first started writing it back in 1991. That includes this printed version, as well as the On-line Email version. Everyone who receives this issue of SR will continue to receive it in its printed form.

Those of you who I have not heard from over the past year will no longer receive SR. If you do receive this newsletter but don't want to, please take the time to drop me a card so that I can remove your name from my mailing list.

If you have not contributed to the work for awhile, this is a very good time to for you to step up to the plate. This Project is the first of its kind for revisionism. I believe it is going to work. The more help I receive, the better the chance that it will work, and that I will be able to make a new, fresh place in the sun for revisionism and revisionists. Nothing is more important than your contribution.

**Send all contributions
and correspondence to:**

**Bradley R. Smith
Post Office Box 439016
San Diego, California 92143**

**Telephone (voice): 619 685 2163
Tel & Fax (Baja): 011 52 661 61 23984
Email: brsmith@telnor.net**